UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,764	10/24/2003	Yoshifumi Kachi	039.0023	2763
Judge Patent Associates Dojima Building, 5th Floor			EXAMINER	
			SPEER, TIMOTHY M	
	6-8 Nishitemma 2-Chome, Kita-ku Osaka-Shi, 530-0047 JAPAN		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
JAPAN			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/605,764	KACHI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	TIMOTHY M. SPEER	1794		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory periot - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	awn from consideration.			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be shown in the short of the shor	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/14/08 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- 4. By the amendment dated 01/14/08, independent claims 1 and 17 were amended to recite "the bottom face being sized to receive a back side of the wafer such that the back side is in contact with the bottom face across substantially the entire diameter of the wafer." Applicant failed, however, to indicate where support for this limitation may be found in the original specification and the Examiner was unable to find such support. For instance, the Examiner was unable to find support, inter alia, for the recitation that the wafer is in contact with the bottom

Art Unit: 1794

face across "substantially the entire diameter" of the wafer. Accordingly, this limitation is considered to constitute new matter.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. The phrase "substantially the entire diameter" is unclear, rendering these claims indefinite. Applicant has failed to define, or set forth a means by which to determine, what proportion of the diameter constitutes "substantially the entire diameter," as presently claimed. Accordingly, the scope of the claims cannot be ascertained and the claims are considered to be indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13, 14, 17, and 18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato (JP 2002-134484) for reasons of record at paragraphs 3-8 in the Final Office Action dated 07/12/07, incorporated herein by reference.
- 10. Regarding the newly added limitation, viz., "the bottom face being sized to receive a back side of the wafer such that the back side is in contact with the bottom face across

Art Unit: 1794

substantially the entire diameter of the wafer," this limitation is not considered to distinguish over Sato. Whether or not the bottom face can receive a wafer as presently claimed is dependent on the wafer size and how the device is used in conjunction with an unclaimed wafer is not seen to distinguish over the applied prior art. A wafer sized so as to fit into the bottom face could be selected if one so choose and does not limit the structural aspects of the claimed susceptor.

- Claims 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15, 16, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato in view of Soma (USPN 5,231,690) for reasons of record at paragraphs 10-12 in the Final Office Action dated 07/12/07, incorporated herein by reference.
- 12. Regarding the newly added limitation, viz., "the bottom face being sized to receive a back side of the wafer such that the back side is in contact with the bottom face across substantially the entire diameter of the wafer," this limitation is not considered to distinguish over Sato. Whether or not the bottom face can receive a wafer as presently claimed is dependent on the wafer size and how the device is used in conjunction with an unclaimed wafer is not seen to distinguish over the applied prior art. A wafer sized so as to fit into the bottom face could be selected if one so choose and does not limit the structural aspects of the claimed susceptor.
- 13. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirotake (JP 2000-290773) in view of Soma) for reasons of record at paragraphs 14-18 in the Final Office Action dated 07/12/07, incorporated herein by reference.
- 14. Regarding the newly added limitation, viz., "the bottom face being sized to receive a back side of the wafer such that the back side is in contact with the bottom face across substantially the entire diameter of the wafer," this limitation is not considered to distinguish

Art Unit: 1794

over Sato. Whether or not the bottom face can receive a wafer as presently claimed is dependent on the wafer size and how the device is used in conjunction with an unclaimed wafer is not seen to distinguish over the applied prior art. A wafer sized so as to fit into the bottom face could be selected if one so choose and does not limit the structural aspects of the claimed susceptor.

Response to Arguments

- 15. Applicant's arguments filed 01/14/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the presently claims distinguish over the applied prior art due to the limitation newly added to the independent claims, viz., "the bottom face being sized to receive a back side of the wafer such that the back side is in contact with the bottom face across substantially the entire diameter of the wafer." As noted above, this limitation is not seen to distinguish over the applied prior art.
- 16. The structure set forth in the present claims is the same as that suggested by the applied prior art. Applicant is arguing, however, that the presently claimed devices are used in a different manner that disclosed in the applied prior art. This is not persuasive. Since the prior art articles have the same structure as presently claimed, they could be used in the claimed manner. Discovering a new use of an old article does not impart patentability to the old article.
- 17. In light of the above, applicant's arguments have been fully considered, but are not found to be persuasive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TIMOTHY M. SPEER whose telephone number is (571)272-8385. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 1794

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Keith D. Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Timothy M. Speer/

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1794